## Inclusive Education Country Profile MARSHALL ISLANDS

This information was provided by government and civil society during a regional mapping of inclusive education undertaken in 2020–2021. Led by the Inclusive Education Taskforce, the mapping supports implementation of the Pacific Regional Inclusive Education Framework (PRIEF) and the Pacific Regional Education Framework (PacREF).

### **Inclusive Education Policy Framework**

**Legislation:** Marshall Islands Public Law 2013–23 Marshall Islands Public School System Act 2013

Inclusive Education Policy: Special Education Policies and Procedures 2014

**Operationalizing Inclusive Education:** Special and inclusive education is operationalised through the Ministry's annual plan

Inclusive Education Standards: Special Education Policies and Procedures 2014

## **Inclusive Education in the Budget**

#### What does the budget cover?

- **\$\$\$** Outreach/itinerant support to schools by specialists
- **\$\$\$** Transport assistance specifically for disadvantaged or disabled students
- **\$\$** Infrastructure funding for accessibility modifications
- **\$\$** Community awareness on disability and education
- **\$\$** Training for school staff

Legend: Extent to which inclusive

education activities are covered in the Ministry of Education (MoE) budget:

- **\$\$** Assistive devices/technologies
- -- Teacher aide/assistant salaries



Special/inclusive education a mandatory part of teacher training

# Frequency of teacher in-service training in inclusive education

More than once a year

Once a year

Less than once a year

Not sure

- **\$\$\$** = A lot
  - **\$\$** = A moderate amount
    - **\$** = A little
    - -- = Not at all

**\$\$\$\$** = A great extent

75% of teachers have participated in in-service training on inclusive education



**x** Teacher aides/assistants are part of the workforce

## **Learning-Friendly Environments**

### To what extent are schools encouraged to implement strategies that support students to build a school environment that is positive for all?

🗹 A great extent		School options for students with disabilities:					
A lot		Integrated (Special classroom in mainstream school)					
A moderate amount	(Median for the region)	✓ Inclusive			Ð		
A little		Special			ilabl	a	
Not at all		None	ble	nt	y ava	ldali	
Use of Individual Education Plans? 🗹			Not available	Small extent	Moderately available	Widely available	
Availability of reasonable accommodations across the country:			ž	Sn	Σ	3	
Student sits close to board or teacher						$\checkmark$	
Lessons are modified or reduced in complexity based on individual student						$\checkmark$	
Enlarged printed materials are provided						$\checkmark$	
Additional time is provided during assessments						$\checkmark$	
Personal assistance is provided during assessments (e.g. note-taking)						$\checkmark$	
Physical education activities are modified					$\checkmark$		
Personal assistance is provided (e.g. assistance with note-taking, communication, managing behaviour)					$\checkmark$		
Assistive technologies are provided (e.g. magnifier, electronic devices, computers, communication boards)						$\checkmark$	

## Culture of inclusion: To what extent is there a culture of inclusion at all levels (national, sub-national, schools)?

Far exceeds expectations					
Exceeds expectations					
✓ Equals expectations					
Short of expectations (Median for the region)		Small proportion of schools	÷		
Far short of expectations			alf o ols	Most schools	ols
	No schools	all prop schools	About half all schools	st scl	All schools
What proportion of schools have			Abo all s	ΨO	All
classrooms that are accessible and safe for all? (people with difficulties moving and seeing can get in and around)				$\checkmark$	
handwashing facilities that are accessible and safe for all?				$\checkmark$	
sanitation (toilet) facilities that are accessible and safe for all?				$\checkmark$	
menstrual hygiene management facilities that are accessible and safe for all?				$\checkmark$	
a disaster response plan in place that is inclusive of all students?					$\checkmark$
measures to address bullying?					$\checkmark$

#### **Encouraging retention**

• Dropping out is prevented by training for parents to enable their involvement in promoting school attendance, weekly home visits when a child does not come to school and public awareness-raising at multiple points during the year.

#### **Encouraging transition**

• Transition support begins at age 14 and continues on to age 16. Transition plans enable students to continue attending school, and to transition to work and/or life skills.

#### Supporting out-of-school children

- Out-of-school children are located and supported to re-enter schools.
- A truancy office has been established.

#### Partner agencies conduct outreach to find out-of-school children/youth, and report to the MoE's Special Education Office. Special education teachers at their local sites also follow up on out-of-school children.

#### Supporting post-school pathways

• Locally available technical and vocational training and education programmes do not yet implement inclusive education. There is a need for training on the policy and what is required to enable the implementation of inclusive education by all partner agencies and programmes.

## **Partnerships for Inclusive Education**

How much are school committees or working groups encouraged to support inclusive education (e.g. student associations, school boards, parent-teacher associations)?

#### A great extent

#### 🗹 A lot

A moderate amount

A little

Not at all

#### Inclusive education coordination mechanism

✓ Is there a national stakeholder group made up of government and civil society representatives that meets regularly to progress inclusive education commitments?

## **Monitoring Inclusive Education**

#### Does the EMIS include data on the following:

• Disability?

## **Availability of Support Services**

Available almost everywhere in the country

Available in most large towns

Available in the capital all the time

Available in the capital only sometimes

Not available

## **Looking Forward**

#### **ENABLERS:**

- High-level commitment is strong: in 2018, RMI was the first US-affiliated island that met new performance requirements outlined in US special education legislation.
- The existing policies are good but need real enforcement.
- The rehabilitation service provides free mobility aids which enables inclusive education.
- Half of RMI's special education teachers employed by the public school system have Associate Degree qualifications or higher.
- The RMI special education programme develops an Individual Education Plan for each student, which is followed by the special education teacher for that student.
- Braille and mobility instruction and basic augmentative communication can be provided to students as needed and specified in their Individual Education Plan.

#### Available almost everywhere in the country

- Vision services (creening and glasses)
- Mobility device services
- Medical/pharmaceutical services

#### Available in most large towns

- Hearing services (screening)
- Hearing services (audiology and hearing aids)
- Sign language services
- Rehabilitation/therapy services
- Early intervention services
- Social protection/welfare services

#### Available in the capital all the time

• Vision services (Braille services & orientation and mobility training)

#### Don't know

Organizations of Persons with Disabilities

#### CHALLENGES:

- Limited resources and accessibility of schools.
- Limited capacity-building for parents of children with disabilities.
- There is only one, not officially qualified, sign language interpreter supporting deaf high school students. Further support for deaf students, particularly high school students, is required.

#### **FUTURE PRIORITIES:**

- Funding for accessibility, resources and capacity-building for parents and personnel.
- Increase support service availability for children with disabilities.

